

From: [Levine, Carolyn](#)
To: ["Richards, Tina"](#)
Subject: RE: call
Date: Monday, February 08, 2016 8:51:57 AM

Can you clarify this Q, I just want to make sure I fill in any missing words appropriately:

so if the only way USACE can (under FUSRAP) order republic to pay (for the remedy) is basically to get EPA to do it, how can you really say **that the same as what EPA can do to** make republic pay (under CERCLA)?

Carolyn Levine
Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations
U.S. EPA
(202) 564-1859
levine.carolyn@epa.gov

From: Richards, Tina [mailto:Tina.Richards@mail.house.gov]
Sent: Friday, February 05, 2016 8:45 PM
To: Levine, Carolyn <Levine.Carolyn@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: call

I just set a call with CRS set for 1:00 on Monday so if we could chat before that on Monday it would be perfect. I have a meeting from 9:00-11:00 but can step out whenever works for you.

P.s. David's latest emails acknowledge that USACE needs EPA to concur in order to take any action under 106/122 - but he's still sticking with the argument that USACE can accomplish same results as EPA. I think I better understand his argument though (I still think he's wrong). **My question to him:**

so if the only way USACE can (under FUSRAP) order republic to pay (for the remedy) is basically to get EPA to do it, how can you really say that the same as what EPA can do to make republic pay (under CERCLA)?

His response :

"the practical effect of the remediation could be the same if the Westlake Landfill were transferred from the EPA Superfund program to the Corps FUSRAP program for two basic reasons.

First, the PRPs are currently performing the remediation under EPA enforcement and oversight, and that framework could continue under EPA and Corps cooperation through the FUSRAP program, pursuant to Executive Order 13016 that is intended to "ensure interagency coordination that enhances efficiency and effectiveness."

Second, the remedial decision of EPA under the Superfund program involves the capping of the landfill, and the remedial decision could remain the same under the Corps FUSRAP program because the same remedy selection criteria of CERCLA and the NCP would apply and because EPA would retain final decision-making authority in selecting remedial actions since the Westlake Landfill is on the NPL.

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 5, 2016, at 6:21 PM, Levine, Carolyn <Levine.Carolyn@epa.gov> wrote:

Yep! Have a good weekend.

Carolyn Levine
U.S. EPA
Office of Congressional Affairs
(202) 564-1859
levine.carolyn@epa.gov

On Feb 5, 2016, at 6:20 PM, Richards, Tina <Tina.Richards@mail.house.gov> wrote:

Sorry I just saw this - you want to just talk Monday?

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 5, 2016, at 5:43 PM, Levine, Carolyn <Levine.Carolyn@epa.gov> wrote:

I can talk for a few and pick back up Monday.

Carolyn Levine
Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations
U.S. EPA

(202) 564-1859
levine.carolyn@epa.gov

From: Richards, Tina [<mailto:Tina.Richards@mail.house.gov>]
Sent: Friday, February 05, 2016 5:42 PM
To: Levine, Carolyn <Levine.Carolyn@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: call

Okay. I'm above ground now so can talk or can do it Monday
- thanks!

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 5, 2016, at 5:38 PM, Levine, Carolyn
<Levine.Carolyn@epa.gov> wrote:

Yes but it's pretty convoluted. Still chatting to
try to focus on the statements

Carolyn Levine
Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations
U.S. EPA
(202) 564-1859
levine.carolyn@epa.gov

From: Richards, Tina
[<mailto:Tina.Richards@mail.house.gov>]
Sent: Friday, February 05, 2016 5:37 PM
To: Levine, Carolyn <Levine.Carolyn@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: call

Sorry! I'm on metro but off in 5min is it too
late?

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 5, 2016, at 5:19 PM, Levine, Carolyn
<Levine.Carolyn@epa.gov> wrote:

You free for a call in 5 w/ OGC?

Carolyn Levine
Office of Congressional and

Intergovernmental Relations

U.S. EPA

(202) 564-1859

levine.carolyn@epa.gov